	Case 2:20-cv-00739-KJM-DB Documen	t 10 Filed 12/07/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	PABLO HERNANDEZ,	No. 2:20-cv-0739 DB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
14	CORRECTIONS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C. §1983. Plaintiff claims defendant failed to protect him in violation of his Eighth	
18	Amendment rights. By order dated September 8, 2020, the court screened the complaint and	
19	determined it did not contain any cognizable claims. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff was given sixty days	
20	leave to file an amended complaint and warned that failure to file an amended complaint would	
21	result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Those sixty days have passed, and	
22	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, requested additional time to file an amended	
23	complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's orders. Accordingly, the court will recommend	
24	that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders.	
25	with court orders.	
26	For the reasons state above, the Clerk of the Court is ORDERED to randomly assign a	
27	district judge to this action.	
28	////	
		1

Case 2:20-cv-00739-KJM-DB Document 10 Filed 12/07/20 Page 2 of 2

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: December 6, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB:12 DB:1/Orders/Prisoner/Civil.Rights/hern0739.f&r.dism